



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,300	07/22/2003	Nicholas Atkins	ATKN101CIP	7878
21658 7590 05/02/2008 DYKAS, SHAVER & NIPPER, LLP P.O. BOX 877 BOISE, ID 83701-0877				
EXAMINER				
NGUYEN, TUAN N				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3751				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/02/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/625,300

Applicant(s)

ATKINS ET AL.

Examiner

Tuan N. Nguyen

Art Unit

3751

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan N. Nguyen.(3) Bryn Williams.(2) Stephen Nipper.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 01 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Atkins.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant describes how the instant invention functions differently from that of Atkins; however, it is the examiner's position that the claims fails to include the specific structure to support the function as worded. Since the structure of Atkins is somewhat similar to the elected embodiment of the instant application and that Atkins further suggest the function as claimed, the applicant's argument is not persuasive and the rejection is maintained.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tuan Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3751

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.